





Clo

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/298,926	04/26/1999	HELMUT REMBOLD	R.33554	2590
2119 7	590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER	
RONALD E. GREIGG			MILLER, CARL STUART	
GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. 1423 POWHATAN STREET, UNIT ONE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	· ()C)
				$\angle$ /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$1 \wedge / $			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/298,926	REMBOLD ET AL.	,			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carl S. Miller	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  iirty (30) days will be considered timely  DNTHS from the mailing date of this co  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	<i>ı.</i> ommunication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 March 2004.					
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-29 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ⊠ Claim(s) 27-29 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National \$	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27</li> </ul>		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/298,926

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida in view of Mathis, Fujino and Yoshiume.

Ishida, Mathis and Fujino apply as per the rejection of claim 1 in the previous office action.

Yoshiume teaches an electric low-pressure pump that varies output to a fuel rail by increasing flow at starting and considers fuel temperatures to add fuel flow at high temperatures (low viscosity).

It would have been obvious to modify Ishida by Mathis and Fujino as previously noted and to vary the low pressure pump flow as taught by Yoshiume because Yoshiume had recognized the ability of electric pumps to vary flow in response to the applicant's starting and temperature conditions.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida, in view of Mathis, Fujino and Cummins.

Ishida, Mathis and Fujino apply as noted with respect to claims 27 and 28 above and Cummins teaches a variable capacity electric pump which uses a variable resistor feed to change pump speed and pump output. It would have been obvious to modify Ishida by using a variable output electric pump in series with a resistor in place of the

Application/Control Number: 09/298,926

Art Unit: 3739

variable output reciprocating pump because both pumps had been used in the art to produce relatively low pressure variable flow to a fuel injector system.

Claims 1-13 and 15-26 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the examiner has carefully reviewed applicant's comments but cannot agree with his analysis of Ishida (Ishihara in applicant's comments). While it is true that the pump (52) is the first pump in the system between the fuel tank and the injectors, the claims merely require a first pump and a second pump. The first pump must "delivery fuel from the fuel tank into a fuel line connection", but there is no requirement that the fuel reach this first pump without coming from another pump (i.e. pump 52). The Ishida pump (46) is a first pump which delivers fuel to a second pump (100) and the fact that the system has a total of 3 pumps does not change this fact. Applicant's analysis of the pump of Mathis is also correct, but Mathis has been applied to show the engine driven mechanical second pump and not the variable capacity first pump. Thus, applicant's recognition of the fact that the second pump is fed variable flow only via a variable throttle does not lessen the teachings of Ishida.

Finally, claims 1 and 26 have been allowed because they include flow controls which alter flow from the variable capacity pump in ways not shown by the prior art. As noted earlier in the prosecution, DE ('885) does show the series control, but the relief is on a constant output pump, not a variable output pump. Hence, claim 26 does define the invention over the art of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/298,926

Art Unit: 3739

Page 4

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 308-2653.

Miller/DI

June 3, 2004

Primary Examiner